

**The Nature of Poly-Existentials:
Basis for Abolishment of
The Western Intellectual Property Rights Regime**

**ماهیت چند وجودی‌ها:
دال بر لغو آن چه که غربیها نامیده‌اند مالکیت فکری و معنوی**

Document #PLPC-120033
January 24, 2018

Version 0.14

Available on-line at:
<http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/PLPC/120033>

Mohsen BANAN محسن بنان
Email: <http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/contact>

This is a DRAFT. It reflects work in progress.

Within the jurisdiction of legal systems that recognize copyright law:

Copyright © 2012-2018 Mohsen BANAN

Permission is granted to make and distribute complete (not partial) verbatim copies of this document provided that the copyright notice and this permission notice are preserved on all copies.

چاپ کامل مجدد با ذکر ماخذ مجاز است تا هنگامی که این اعلام اجازه روی همه کپیها موجود باشد.

Contents

1	About This Document	1
1.1	The Nature Of Poly-Existentials Makes Them Unownable	2
1.2	This Document is in Globish	4
1.3	Relevant Globish Vocabulary	4
1.4	This Is A Draft – Request For Feedback	7
1.5	Our Motivations And Our Purposes For Writing This Document	8
1.6	The Libre-Halaal Manner-Of-Existence Of This Document	11
1.7	You, Your Choices And Your Responsibility	12
1.8	Our Invitations To You	12
1.8.1	Invitations Towards Global Abolishment Of Western IPR Regime	13
1.8.2	Invitations Towards Global Adoption Of The Likes Of ByStar Libre-Halaal Digital Ecosystem	13
1.8.3	Invitations Towards Eastern Societal Libre-Halaal Strategies	13
	Bibliography	14

List of Figures

Chapter 1

About This Document

بِسْمِ اللّٰهِ الرَّحْمٰنِ الرَّحِیْمِ

ضمیمه ?? -- ??، چکیده‌ی این مبحث را از دیدگاه یک ایرانی ارائه میکند.

That which exists in nature in multiples, we call poly-existentials. That which exists in nature in singular, we call mono-existentials. All material objects exist in singular and are mono-existentials. Ideas, information and knowledge exist in nature in multiples – not in singular. Ideas, Information and knowledge are poly-existentials.

In this document we analyze the topic of Intellectual Property (IP) from a new perspective. The topic of monopolistic ownership oriented restriction of poly-existentials and Western Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) are one and the same. Yet, the concept and terminology of poly-existentials has not appeared in prior discussions of this topic. This is the very first time that the concept and the word “poly-existentials” are being introduced.

The IPR regime is about extending the model and concept of ownership of mono-existentials to the realm of poly-existentials by creating artificial scarcity. This is against the nature of poly-existence.

Ownership of poly-existentials impacts their manner-of-existence towards being monopolistically controlled and towards becoming internally opaque. Monopolistic oriented restriction of poly-existentials has ramifications on autonomy and privacy of the individual and health of societies. Natural dynamics of Western IP restrictions result in reduced autonomy and privacy of the individual and result in transfer of power to corporations and Corpocracy. Any digital ecosystem that is rooted in Western IPR puts humanity in danger. A moral alternative to the American proprietary digital ecosystem (Internet as we know it today) is called for.

The first part of this document is concerned with ontology of poly-existentials. We construct the “Poly-Existentials Reference Model” in order to analyze the nature of what IP restricts. Poly-existentials are naturally un-scarce and naturally multi-possessable and naturally un-territorial. Therefore poly-

existentials are unownable. Western IP regime is about ownership (monopolistic restriction) of poly-existentials. The concept of poly-existence through the poly-existentials reference model makes the fraudulence of Western IPR regime clear and obvious.

This first part is also available as a standalone document in:

**Poly-Existentials Reference Model
A Framework For Mapping Of
The Western Intellectual Property Model To Poly-Existentials**
<http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/PLPC/120068> — [8]

In the second part of this document, we engage in discrediting of the IPR regime through debunking the arguments that have been put forward in its support and we address the false beliefs surrounding Western consensuses. We also put on the table the character of those who originated it and who are pushing it. Westerners are vested in their IPR regime. It is not in their interest to understand the invalidity of IPR. We point out that when a given society gets its fundamental ownership rules wrong, the consequences are severe. When most of the world gets its fundamental ownership rules wrong, the consequences are catastrophic.

This second part is also available as a standalone document in:

**Debunking Myth Of Western Intellectual Property Rights Regime
Based On The Poly-Existentials Reference Model**
<http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/PLPC/120069> — [7]

The third part of this document is about solutions and cures. We advocate full rejection of the Western IPR regime. We then advance a specific replacement strategy which includes societal and global governance models that require halaal manner-of-existence of poly-existentials. We then propose construction of a complete ethics oriented digital ecosystem which has autonomy and privacy of the individual at its core. We then introduce a starting point for such a digital ecosystem called: **The Libre-Halaal ByStar Digital Ecosystem**. Finally we postulate a set of societal strategies that in theory can cure Eastern societies and do an economic number on Western IPR vulnerabilities.

This document is vast in scope and in ambition. And, this generally public version is just a beginning which should be considered a draft. The first and second part are complete enough to deserve scrutiny. The third part is incomplete but has enough material to convey its intent and direction. Throughout these three parts, our main goal is to underscore the importance of this topic and to agitate towards further discussions.

1.1 The Nature Of Poly-Existentials Makes Them Unownable

A thesis of this document is that poly-existentials are unownable. Poly-existentials cannot be personal property.

Ownership rules exist to resolve conflicts and to improve order in society. Main ownability requirements are three fold:

1. Exclusive Possession – What is to be owned must be possessable by only one possessor at any given time.
2. Scarcity – What is plentiful need not be owned.
3. Territoriality – What is to be owned must only exist within the jurisdiction of applicable ownership law.

The nature of poly-existentials violates all of these three requirements:

1. Poly-existentials (e.g., ideas, formulas, knowledge) can be possessed by multiple possessors at the same time.
2. Each poly-existential can easily be copied and can therefore be plentiful. Each poly-existential is not scarce.
3. Each poly-existential can exist in multiple places at the same time. Each poly-existential can be possessed by multiple possessors in different places at the same time. Poly-existentials are non-territorial.

Poly-existentials are therefore unownable.

Western Intellectual Property Rights regime is an umbrella misnomer to cover the following branches of US and Western laws:

- Copyright
- Patent
- Trademark

Copyright, patent and trademark provide for ownership oriented monopolistic restrictions of poly-existentials. Each of these branches of Western law directly map to different forms of monopolistic restriction and ownership of different aspects of certain types of poly-existentials.

Since poly-existentials are unownable; copyright, patent and trademark laws as individual branches of law and collectively as Intellectual Property Rights regime are therefore invalid.

Ramifications of incorrect ownership laws can put societies and humanity at risk.

The context of mono-existence is inherently local. The context of poly-existence is inherently un-territorial, global and universal.

1.2 This Document is in Globish

This document is in Globish. It is not in conventional Anglo-American English.

See the document titled “Introducing Globish into Globish” [3] for a description of Globish. That document also includes references to other specific words and concepts relevant to this document. Briefly, Globish (mixture of Glob-al and Engl-ish) is the language that a Chinese may use to communicate with a Brazilian.

The intended audience for this document is all of humanity.

Some of the concepts developed in this document stand separate from American and Western values. Some of these concepts specifically reject American and Western values. Western readers need to pay extra attention, as many of their assumptions are likely not ours.

So-called Intellectual Property Rights (patent, copyright and trademark laws) are fundamentally Western concepts. They are primarily rooted in the American, English, French and European cultures. Much of our audience has not had these beliefs and should not permit these corrupt beliefs to enter their cultures. That segment of our audience that has patent and copyright traditions in their culture and their belief system need to recognize that these beliefs are by no means universal.

1.3 Relevant Globish Vocabulary

Intellectual Property Rights regime is a set of societal rules. IPR regime is a Western construct. The concepts and vocabulary of IPR are Western and are targeted towards justification, protections, promotion and propagation of the Western IPR regime.

The basis of analysis of this document is Eastern. Many of the concepts that we use to invalidate Western IPR regime are not rooted in Anglo-American English. Through out this document, there are also a number of important words and concepts that we use in their Globish sense and not in the Anglo-American English (Western) sense.

The concepts behind these words, to a large extent, have directed our analysis and as such are key to understanding this document. Recognizing the specific contexts for our use of these words is essential for understanding our exposition. Here we provide a short list of some of the key words and concepts that are essential for our exposition.

Libre:

Libre is a substitute for the word free in English which distinguishes the freedom sense from the gratis sense. Libre in Globish refers to the sense of freedom in the word “free”. The other and dominant sense of the word free is gratis (free of charge). In the context of this document our use of Libre is in the scope of freedom from Western societal restrictions that come as a result of IPR regime’s restrictions. This scoping of Libre is different from FLOSS’s (Free and Libre Open Source Software) scope of the user’s freedom as it applies to Free Software.

Conviviality Of Tools

By conviviality we refer to the concept of “Tools for Conviviality” as Ivan Illich introduced it.

In the document titled:

Introducing Convivial Into Globish

<http://mohsen.banan.1.bynome.net/PLPC/120037> – [1]

we introduce the term "Convivial" into Globish.

Briefly, in Illich's words:

To the degree that an individual masters his tools, he can invest the world with his meaning; to the degree that he is mastered by his tools, the shape of the tool determines his own self-image. Convivial tools are those which give each person who uses them the greatest opportunity to enrich the environment with the fruits of his or her vision. Industrial tools deny this possibility to those who use them and they allow their designers to determine the meaning and expectations of others.

Western IPR model of poly-existentials leads to industriality of tools where the tool maker is more in charge.

The Libre-Halaal model of poly-existentials leads to conviviality of tools where the tool user is more in charge.

We expand on this in Section ?? – ??.

Halaal and Haraam – «حلال و حرام»

In English, halaal and haraam are over simplified Orientalist adoptions that map onto "permitted" or "prohibited" and which come with islamophobia negative connotations.

In Globish, philosophical halaal is "manifestation" of "moral sensibilities" relevant to a specific topic where "the set of actions" map to "right."

We use the words [halaal](#) and [haraam](#) as vehicles for expression of ethics and morality as we have formally defined them in:

Introducing Halaal and Haraam into Globish
Based on Moral Philosophy of Abstract Halaal
And Defining The Libre-Halaal Label

معرفی دنیایی حلال و حرام
و تعریف حلال-وجودی چند وجودی‌ها

<http://mohsen.1.banan.bynome.net/PLPC/120039> – [2]

A particular focus of this document is to identify halaal and haraam manner-of-existence of poly-existentials. We conclude that the Western IPR regime leads to the haraam manner-of-existence of poly-existentials.

We elaborate on this in Section ?? – ??.

Libre-Halaal

Libre-Halaal is the label that we apply for the halaal manner-of-existence of poly-existentials. A necessary condition for conviviality of poly-existential tools is their Libre-Halaalness.

In Section ?? – ?? – we expand on this.

In Section ?? – ?? – we describe why Libre-Halaal is superior to “Free Software”, “Open Source”, and other FLOSS attempts at labeling Halaal manner-of-existence of software (and other poly-existentials).

East and West

East and West represent labels for spheres of consensus.

Some of the important concepts that the Western sphere of consensus focuses on are: supremacy of markets, economics, competition, dominance, exploitation and freedoms of the individual and the corporation and separation of religion from governance.

Some of the important concepts that the Eastern sphere of consensus focuses on are: supremacy of family and society, social cohesion, societal harmony, ethics, morality and sanctity of speech and the intertwinedness of religion and governance.

The fundamental difference in perspective between East and West is in the context of individual and society. An Eastern Iranian may communicate this to a Western American with the following phrases: “The largest societal unit in America is the individual. The smallest societal unit in Iran is the family”

East and West have been engaged in “Models Wars”. The West considers its models as universal and has been imposing them on the East. Some Eastern societies have been resisting. Resisting the Western IPR regime is an important battle ground in these Models Wars.

Orientalism

Orientalism is agenda driven definition of Eastern concepts, customs and characteristics by Westerners aimed at the West’s establishment of difference and superiority for the purpose of exploiting East and Easterners.

Our use of the word “Orientalism” is in the context of Edward Saeid’s book titled “Orientalism” [11].

The history of Orientalism has led to a false belief by Westerners that the Western IPR regime is universal.

West-toxication – «غرب زدگی»

West-toxication is a term that Iranians have created and use to denote pernicious Western influence that is to be rejected.

West-toxication represents the impact of Orientalism on some Easterners which has led to their belief that Western model is superior to the Eastern model in almost all respects.

We use the word **West-toxication** in the context that Jalaal Al-Ahamad introduced it in his Gharbzadegi book in 1966 [10].

History of West-toxication has led some Easterners to believe that the Western IPR regime is universal, because it is Western.

We expand on this in Section ?? – ??.

Americanism and Americanists

In English, Americanism is the self-congratulatory celebration of the likes of: free markets,

rugged individualism, capitalism, the corporation, free speech, free Facebook friends, market driven health care and TV advertised prescription drugs.

In Globish, Americanism is the model of self-toxication «خود زدگی» of economic creatures existing in an industrial context.

The Globish's Americanism contrasts against the English's Americanism in humanity's context.

We identify **Americanism** as the root of the Western IPR problem.

We expand on this in Section ?? – ??.

So-Called Western IPR Regime

In Anglo-American English, “Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)” has become revered and chic terminology which is often portrayed as moral, ethical and universal.

In Globish, we reject all of that. The entirety of what some call “Intellectual Property Rights” is a rigged misnomer. Western copyright and patent artificial laws are not about property or rights or intellectuality.

For this reason we usually prefix IPR with “Western” and “so-called.”

We expand on this in Section ?? – ??.

Understanding of poly-existence plus the above concepts and words, very simply and naturally lead to the obvious recognition of fraudulence of the Western IPR regime. Yet, because it is not in the interest of many to recognize fraudulence of the Western IPR regime we need to apply more than just logic. Through out this document we further develop the above concepts for those who have difficulty putting aside their interests in favor of logic and reason. Many of these concepts resonates in the East and are suppressed by the West.

These permit us to change the center of gravity of this topic from individualism and economics to ethics and harmony with nature.

1.4 This Is A Draft — Request For Feedback

The primary URL for this document is: <http://mohsen.1.banan.bynome.net/PLPC/120033>.
The pdf format is authoritative.

Distribution of this document is unrestricted. We encourage you to forward it to others.

Many of the concepts that we present in this document are non-conventional and perhaps new. This is the very first time that a categorization of the world with the labels of Mono-Existentials and Poly-Existentials is being introduced. And it is the first time that the Western IPR regime is being viewed as a mapping onto restriction of poly-existentials.

As such, some of what is being presented is in early stages of being understood. This document is evolving and should be considered a draft – we plan to follow up with further updates and enhancements. Yet, the core concepts have been adequately developed and our conclusions are correct. Therefore, this revision of the document can be envisaged ready for early considerations.

Additionally, our analysis has to be very multi-disciplinary. So we have had to dabbled in chemistry, physics, biology, information theory, computer science, logic, philosophy, ethics, theology, sociology, law and economics. Clearly we are not experts in all of these fields.

We can benefit from your feedback. Please let us know your thoughts. You can send us your comments and criticisms via the URL <http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/contact>, or by email to plpc120033@ourbase.com, which is mohsen.1.banan.byname.net.

We thank you for your assistance.

1.5 Our Motivations And Our Purposes For Writing This Document

We are software engineers. The Western IPR regime has crippled our profession by prohibiting collaboration and transferring more power to corporate businessmen. This has led to dominance of internally opaque software and internally opaque internet services. Internally opaque software and internally internet services foster a competitive model which stifle engineering collaboration. Internally opaque software and internally internet services in turn lead to deterioration of individual's autonomy and privacy.

Our profession, software and internet engineering, has a responsibility to society and we have a responsibility to our profession. It is towards fulfillment of our responsibilities to our profession and our profession's responsibility to society and humanity that we have prepared this document.

Because we have been close to writing of software and creation of internet services, we understand the ramifications of the Western IPR regime better than many others. Dynamics of the Western IPR regime are such that they put society and humanity at risk.

We have concluded that the Western IPR regime is a colossal mistake.

Let's say that based on solid logic we could demonstrate that the bases for establishment of IPR regime is fundamentally wrong and that IPR regime results in serious harm to society and humanity. What impact would that have? Contemporary global mistakes often result in entrenched vested interests. Many powerful people and entities are deeply vested in Western IPR. Such deep economic interests often prevent people's willingness to hear and follow basic logic.

The Western IPR regime is a sphere of consensus that can not be changed based on logic and reason alone. Therefore, the scope and purpose of this document can not be limited to logic and reason alone.

The consequences of the IPR Western ownership mistake are even more grave than the previous Western ownership mistake – that of slavery of Africans by Americans based on formal Western laws of ownership of human beings. This time ramifications of the mistake are broader than just America or the West, they put all of humanity in danger.

Ramifications and harm of the Western IPR regime are far broader than they are generally understood. Western IPR regime indirectly impacts the individual's autonomy and privacy and distorts the relationship between individuals and corporation.

In this document we address much of what surrounds IPR. Our goal is to open the subject wide towards tangible results.

1. We introduce the concept of poly-existentials and based on that we evaluate the validity of the Western IPR regime based on logic. Based on that analysis we conclude that all basis for establishment of Western IPR regime are invalid.
2. It is clear that poly-existentials should not be owned and it is clear that the Western IPR regime should be abolished. But abolishment of IPR regime should not lead to ungoverned poly-existence. Poly-existentials should be regarded as “public goods” and as such deserve legal protection because of negative externalities which arise if poly-existentials are not properly governed.

We frame the question of correct governance of poly-existentials in their halaal and haraam manner-of-existence.

3. We then recognize digital as the most potent form of poly-existentials and put forward concrete definitions for halaal manner-of-existence of software and internet Services and label them as Libre-Halaal.
4. In a document titled:

Definition Of The Libre-Halaal Software Label
Defining Halaal Manner-Of-Existence Of Software
<http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180044> – [5]

and also at the web site:

<http://www.halaalsoftware.org>

we provide definitional criteria for halaal manner-of-existence of software.

5. In a document titled:

Definition Of The Libre-Halaal Internet Services Label
Defining Halaal Manner-Of-Existence Of Internet Application Services
A non-proprietary model for delivery of Internet services
<http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180045> – [4]

and also at the web site:

<http://www.libreservices.org>

we provide definitional criteria for halaal manner-of-existence of internet services.

6. We then debunk common arguments in favor of validity of the Western IPR Regime. We recognize and illustrate that it is not reasonable to expect that Americanists could be awakened. This disease can not be stopped in the West. Our hope is with the East.

7. Our focus then shifts towards solutions. We propose a number of abstract cures towards replacing the current Western IPR traditions.
8. Having confined ourselves with halaal manner-of-existence of software and internet services, we move towards creation of a complete parallel Libre-Halaal digital ecosystem.

In a document titled:

The Libre-Halaal ByStar Digital Ecosystem
A Unified and Non-Proprietary Model For Autonomous Internet Services
A Moral Alternative To The Proprietary American Digital Ecosystem
<http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180016> – [6]

and also at the web site:

<http://www.by-star.net>

which is partially reproduced in Chapter ?? – ?? –, we describe the contours of a cure.

9. Equipped with a tangible initial Libre-Halaal digital ecosystem, we then turn our attention to economics and business.

In Chapter ?? – ?? –, we analyze and distinguish dynamics of Mono-Existential Capitalism vs Poly-Existential Capitalism.

In a document titled:

The By* Federation of Autonomous Libre Services
An Inversion to Proprietary Internet Services Model
An Open Business Plan
<http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180014> – [9]

and also in the web site:

<http://www.neda.com/strategicVision/businessPlan>

we present an **Open Business Plan** towards making ByStar widespread.

10. Our ultimate goal is to influence formulation of national policies and adoption at societal level of halaal manner-of-existence of poly-existentials in general and Libre-Halaal Software and Libre-Halaal Internet Services in particular.

In the Western context in general and in the American context in particular, in this domain, at best such a goal is academic.

In the Eastern context in general and in the Iranian context in particular, with these understandings, we believe it is possible to move towards governance of poly-existentials based on their halaal manner-of-existence.

To this end, in Chapter ?? – ?? –, we have proposed a set of software and internet services national policies for Iran that are equally applicable to other Eastern societies.

Each part of this document has a particular tone and a specific style. The parts that introduce the concept and terminology of nature of poly-existentials are scholarly, formal, logic based, and persuasion oriented.

The parts that deal with exposure of the Western IPR regime mistake are by choice inflammatory and aggressive. Our philosophical analysis is that nature of poly-existentials leads to the natural right to copy and the natural right to apply knowledge without any monopoly oriented restrictions. This in turn naturally leads to full rejection of the restrictive IPR regime. The context of poly-existentials is inherently universal. It is the responsibility of those who wish to restrict our (humans) natural rights, to make a case for their model. The burden of proof is on them not on us.

A meaningful case for IPR has never been made. So, where appropriate we mock and we ridicule the Western status quo. We frequently toy with the self-absorption and overly individualistic aspects of Western cultures. Logic and persuasion alone are ineffective against the entrenched Western IPR disease. Exposure of the Western IPR regime mistake also involves the clarification that IPR is a Western and mostly American mistake. As such our tone may come across as anti-American and anti-Western. Western readers need to recognize that the intended audience of this document is all of humanity and that the scope of this topic is all of humanity. The nature of this topic is inherently global.

The purpose and scope of this document is not limited to analysis of Western IPR disease. We also offer theoretical recipes for cures. The parts of this document that deal with the cure, occasionally go beyond persuasion and are prophetic. The cure part is broken into Western cures and Eastern cures – each with their own flavor.

Content of this document reflects our independent thoughts. We have not received any funding for producing this document. We have not written this document in the traditional context of Western IPR where the result of our work are expected to bring economic rewards. We have something to say and we want others to read it and discuss it – towards the progress of science and useful arts. Verbatim copying of this poly-existential is unrestricted. We believe that the collaborative model is the one which motivates real engineers, real scientists and real artists.

We are law abiding citizens. We underscore the corrupt nature of Western IPR regime, but we do not advocate illegal or unauthorized copying in applicable territory. We advocate the abolishment of Western IPR regime. In the mean time, we encourage authors and inventors to subject their work to non-restrictive copyright and no patents or non-restrictive (defensive) patents in applicable territories. We advocate full rejection of the Western IPR regime in territories where they may be under consideration.

The anti-Americanist tone and our focus on curing Eastern societies is not towards a market oriented agenda. It is reality and logic that has taken us there. In Appendix ?? – ?? – we include our profile for those curious about the tone of this document.

1.6 The Libre-Halaal Manner-Of-Existence Of This Document

Not only is this document a Libre-Halaal poly-existential, but it has been produced, published and distributed by pure Libre-Halaal Software and Libre-Halaal Internet Services. In Appendix ?? – ?? –, we provide a summary of how purely Libre-Halaal convivial tools can produce results that surpass

their Proprietary-Haraam competitors.

1.7 You, Your Choices And Your Responsibility

Throughout this document, in parallel with the model of poly-existence, we draw a clear and explicit distinction between being an economic creature and being a human.

Americanism as a model for self-toxicated economic creature existing in an industrial context has led to the creation of the artificial competition oriented Western Intellectual Property Rights regime. Humanism as a model for humans living in societies leads to the natural collaboration oriented Libre-Halaal poly-existential regime. Americanism vs Humanism lies at the center of the conflict for governance of manner-of-existence of poly-existentials.

Where do you fit in all of this? Are you a participant? Or are you just an observer? Are you an “Intellectual Worker”? Are you an economic creature or, are you a human? Do you have any responsibilities in these regards?

By an “Intellectual Worker”, we are referring to those involved in production, organization and propagation of poly-existentials. Professions related to: software, engineering, teaching, research, arts, journalism, medicine, pharmacy, plant biology, etc. – all involve production or propagation of poly-existentials. As a medical doctor, when you prescribe patented medications, you are propagating patents. As a software engineer working for the likes of Microsoft, when you write code, you are producing copyrighted material. Today, large parts of many societies are intellectual workers. Enlarging of numbers of intellectual workers throughout the world is a clear trend.

It is very convenient for intellectual workers to assume the validity of IP and become accomplices. Status quo is often very profitable for intellectual workers. It may well not be in your economic interest to understand or to advocate that the basic concept of Intellectual Property Rights is wrong.

If you are an intellectual worker, you are a participant. And if you are not just an economic creature, as a human, you have responsibilities.

Your responsibilities start by being willing to understand – even when it may not be in your economic interest to understand.

It could well be the case that you, on your own, can not do much to impact the situation. But, collectively we can.

1.8 Our Invitations To You

The picture that we are drawing in this document is vast in scope and in ambition. Thus far, the entire formulation and development has been done by a very small team. Much of our work and much of our writing is in its early stages of evolution.

These efforts can only be significant if participation and usage is widespread and if collaborative development involves many. We have created many venues to facilitate collaboration. And now we ask you, to assist us in making this work widespread.

As a first step, we ask you to review what we have written and give us your critique. If you think our work has merit, we also ask you to help us in spreading the word. Please feel welcome to further distribute this document where appropriate.

We invite you to assist in the collaborative development of Libre-Halaal Software and Libre-Halaal Internet Services. And we encourage you to avoid use of all Proprietary-Haraam software, and Proprietary-Haraam internet services.

Beyond this important intellectual contribution, we also invite you to participate with action as appropriate in the context of your own professional domain and in your own societal context.

Our invitation to you spans three aspects of what we present in this document.

1.8.1 Invitations Towards Global Abolishment Of Western IPR Regime

In the context of our goal to demonstrate that Western IPR is a mistake, our intended audience is all of humanity.

We believe that after reading this document and based on the understanding of poly-existentials, any independent thinker would conclude that the Western IPR regime should be abolished. However, many are vested in the IPR regime and can not read this document as independent thinkers.

So, we have a battle in our hand. And we ask for your assistance.

Please distribute this document as widely as possible, particularly amongst regulatory entities, legislators and the press within your society.

1.8.2 Invitations Towards Global Adoption Of The Likes Of ByStar Libre-Halaal Digital Ecosystem

In Part ?? – ?? –, we introduce the Libre-Halaal model as replacement for the Western IPR regime. We then say that our initial focus should be the creation of a Libre-Halaal Digital Ecosystem.

In Chapter ??, we then introduce:

The Libre-Halaal ByStar Digital Ecosystem, as a moral and ethical alternative to the proprietary American digital ecosystem. An overview of this is also provided in [6], available on-line at: <http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180016> and also at: <http://www.by-star.net/>.

We invite you to participate in propagation of ByStar.

Information for Joining ByStar is provided in Section ??.

If you are a qualified investor, we invite you to take a look at our Open Business Plan at <http://www.neda.com/strategicVision/businessPlan>. Consider ramifications of participating in something this huge and this proper!

1.8.3 Invitations Towards Eastern Societal Libre-Halaal Strategies

In Chapter ?? – ?? –, we say that there is no hope for a societal cure for Western societies and that we should focus on Eastern societies. We then focus on Iran as a case study.

As an Easterner, we invite you to distribute this document widely amongst academics and policy makers of your Eastern societies.

As a Muslim, ask the opinion of your source of imitation about halaal manner-of-existence of poly-existentials.

In every possible way reject the current Western IPR regime which has brought us the current Western proprietary-haram manner-of-existence of poly-existentials.

Bibliography

- [1] "Mohsen BANAN ". "introducing convivial into globish ". Permanent Libre Published Content "120037", Autonomously Self-Published, "July" 2011. <http://mohsen.banan.1.byname.net/PLPC/120037>.
- [2] "Mohsen BANAN ". "introducing halaal and haraam into globish based on moral philosophy of abstract halaal معرفي حلال و حرام به بقيه دنيا ". Permanent Libre Published Content "120039", Autonomously Self-Published, "September" 2012. <http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/120039>.
- [3] "Mohsen BANAN ". "introducing globish into globish ". Permanent Libre Published Content "120038", Autonomously Self-Published, "August" 2013. <http://mohsen.banan.1.byname.net/PLPC/120038>.
- [4] "Mohsen BANAN ". "libre-halaal internet services defining halaal manner-of-existence of internet application services a non-proprietary model for delivery of internet services ". Permanent Libre Published Content "180045", Autonomously Self-Published, "September" 2013. <http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180045>.
- [5] "Mohsen BANAN ". "libre-halaal software defining halaal manner-of-existence of software ". Permanent Libre Published Content "180044", Autonomously Self-Published, "August" 2013. <http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180044>.
- [6] "Mohsen BANAN ". "the libre-halaal bystar digital ecosystem a unified and non-proprietary model for autonomous internet services a moral alterantive to the proprietary american digital ecosystem ". Permanent Libre Published Content "180016", Autonomously Self-Published, "September" 2013. <http://www.by-star.net/PLPC/180016>.
- [7] "Mohsen BANAN ". "debunking myth of western intelectual property rights regime based on the poly-existentials reference model ". Permanent Libre Published Content "120069", Autonomously Self-Published, "January" 2018. <http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/PLPC/120069>.
- [8] "Mohsen BANAN ". "poly-existentials reference model a framework for mapping of the western intelectual property model to poly-existentials ". Permanent Libre Published Content "120068", Autonomously Self-Published, "January" 2018. <http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/PLPC/120068>.

- [9] Inc. " " Neda Communications. " the libre-halaal bystar digital ecosystem an inversion to proprietary internet services model neda communication inc.'s open business plan ". Permanent Libre Published Content "180014", Autonomously Self-Published, "August" 2013. <http://www.neda.com/strategicVision/businessPlan>.
- [10] Jalal Al e Ahmad. "gharbzadegi – غرب زدگی ". Re-Published Content "120024", Autonomously Self-Published, """. <http://mohsen.banan.1.byname.net/Repub/120024>.
- [11] Edward Said. *Orientalism*, 1978.